Design and Characterization of Gastroretentive Bilayer Tablet of Amoxicillin Trihydrate and Ranitidine Hydrochloride for H. pylori Infection

Shikha Jain, Vikas Jain, S.C. Mahajan

Mahakal Institute of Pharmaceutical Studies, Pharmaceutics Gram Datana ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, INDIA

ABSTRACT

Objective: The present study was aimed at developing Gastroretentive Bilayer drug delivery systems containing Amoxicillin Trihydrate and Ranitidine Hydrochloride for the treatment of H. pylori induced peptic ulcer to minimize the side effect, improve the prolongation of action, to reduce the frequency of drug administration. Materials and Method: The tablet is characterized by immediate release layer of Ranitidine Hydrochloride and Gastroretentive layer of Amoxicillin Trihydrate. The formulation containing Gastroretentive layer was designed using HPMC K15M and HPMC K4M as floating agents, sodium bicarbonate and citric acid as gas-generating agent. Crospovidone was used as superdisintegrant for the preparation of immediate release layer. The prepared Gastroretentive layer was evaluated for their precompression parameters, physical characteristics like hardness, friability, uniformity of weight, uniformity of drug content, swelling index, In-vitro floating studies and In-vitro drug release. For the estimation of Ranitidine Hydrochloride and Amoxicillin Trihydrate in a formulation, simultaneous estimation method was employed. Results: The release of the Ranitidine Hydrochloride from the immediate release layer was found to be 94.6% ± 0.02% in 30 minutes. The release of Amoxicillin Trihydrate for the sustained release floating layer was found to be 90.5 ± 0.06% in 12 hours. The data obtained from *In-vitro* release were fitted into the various kinetic models (Zero Order, Higuchi, First Order and Korsmeyer-Peppas Model). Conclusion: The best fitted model for Amoxicillin Trihydrate and Ranitidine Hydrochloride was found to be zero order release model and first order release model, respectively.

Key words: Amoxicillin Trihydrate, bilayer floating tablets, crospovidone, Ranitidine Hydrochloride, superdisintegrant.

INTRODUCTION

Oral route has been the most widely used and most convenient route for the delivery of drugs. Oral route of administration has received more attention than any other dosage form in the pharmaceutical industry and research field because of the flexibility in designing of dosage form and freedom from problems like sterility and potential damage at the site of administration. Approximately 50% of the drug delivery system in the market is oral drug delivery system.

Drugs that are rapidly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and have a short half life are eliminated quickly from the blood circulation and therefore require frequent dosing. To avoid this problem, the oral sustain controlled release formulation have been developed in an attempt to release the drug slowly into the gastrointestinal tract and maintain the therapeutic drug concentration in the serum for longer period of time. The oral controlled-release system is characterized by a typical pattern of drug release in which the drug concentration is maintained in the therapeutic window for a prolonged period of time, thereby ensuring sustained therapeutic action.¹

Peptic ulcer can be defined as any sore in the linings of GIT particularly stomach or duodenum. There are two most common types of peptic ulcers called "gastric ulcers" and "duodenal ulcers". Peptic ulcer occurs as a result of imbalance between the aggressive Submission Date :22-09-14 Revision Date :11-11-14 Accepted Date :01-12-14

DOI: 10.5530/ijper.48.4s.15 Correspondence Address *Dr. Vikas jain* Mahakal Institute of Pharmaceutical Studies, Pharmaceutics Gram Datana ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, INDIA Telephone:+919617383196 E-mail:vikasjain111180@ amail.com



Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Education and Research | Vol 48 | Issue Suppl| Oct-Dec, 2014

(acid, pepsin, bile and H. pylori) and the defensive (gastric mucus and bicarbonate secretion, prostaglandins, nitric oxide, innate resistance of the mucosal cells) factors. Helicobacter pylori is an important cause of duodenal and gastric ulcers. Greater than 90% of duodenal ulcers and 70% of gastric ulcers are associated with H. pylori. H. pylori is a gram-negative, motile, micro-aerophilic, curved bacillus that is found in the mucus layer overlying the gastric epithelium.²

The treatment for eradication of H. pylori is complicated, requiring a combination of an antibiotic with gastric acid inhibitors. Therefore, a well designed drug delivery system is required to overcome the troubles of conventional therapy and to enhance the therapeutic efficacy of given drug regimens.

Gastroretentive drug deliveries locate the drug within the stomach and prolong ultimate contact with the absorbing membrane and increases efficacy. This is particularly important in the treatment of microorganisms which colonize in the stomach because the three main fraction reducing luminal delivery of drug to them are gastric emptying, gastric acidity and epithelial mucus layer. In particular, H. Pylori lives deep within the gastric mucus layer and prolonged local application is needed for sufficient to diffuse to the bacteria.

The current investigation aims at the development of Gastroretentive Bilayer floating tablets with different release patterns of amoxicillin and ranitidine. Amoxicillin Trihydrate is an antibiotic to treat H. Pylori and Ranitidine Hydrochloride is an antacid to reduce gastric acid secretion.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Amoxicillin and ranitidine were obtained as a gift sample form Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. Dewas, M.P. HPMC K4M and HPMC K15M were purchased from Colorcon Asia Pvt Ltd, Mumbai; Citric acid was purchased from Qualigens Fine Chemicals; Sodium bicarbonate was purchased from Rankem Laboratories; Lactose monohydrate, Magnesium stearate were purchased from S.D. Fine Chemicals Pvt. Ltd. All other chemicals were of analytical grades.

Preparation of Gastroretentive Bilayer tablet

Gastroretentive Bilayer tablets consisting of bottom floating layer as bottom and immediate release layer as top layer were prepared in two stages as following:³

- Preparation of ranitidine immediate release layer
- Preparation of amoxicillin sustained release layer

Preparation of Ranitidine immediate release layer

Ranitidine immediate release layer were prepared by taking variable concentration of crospovidone and microcrystalline cellulose at three levels. Ranitidine immediate release layer were prepared by using direct compression method. Ranitidine was weighed and passed (sieve) through mesh no. 40. Crospovidone, microcrystalline cellulose, and lactose were passed (sieve) through mesh no. 60. The active ingredient, Crospovidone, microcrystalline cellulose and lactose were mixed in a poly bag for 5 minutes. Magnesium stearate previously passed through mesh no. 60 was mixed well in a poly bag for 3 minutes. The blend was directly compressed using single punch tablet compression machine. The composition of ranitidine tablet layer is shown in Table 1.

Preparation of Amoxicillin sustained release layer

Sustained release layers of Amoxicillin were prepared using experimental design by varying the concentration of HPMCK4M, HPMCK15M and carbopol P-940 by direct compression method. Amoxicillin was weighed and passed (sieve) through mesh no. 40. HPMC, Carbopol, sodium bicarbonate, citric acid, lactose were weighed and passed (sieve) through mesh no. 60. The

Table 1: Composition of RAN tablet layer									
	Formulation code								
Ingredients	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9
Ranitidine Hydrochloride	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Cross Povidone (2-6%)	3 (2%)	3 (2%)	3 (2%)	6 (4%)	6 (4%)	6 (4%)	9 (6%)	9 (6%)	9 (6%)
Microcrystalline cellulose	0	15	30	0	15	30	0	15	30
Lactose	39.5	24.5	9.5	36.5	21.5	6.5	33.5	18.5	3.5
Magnesium stearate	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5
Total	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
*All quantities are expresse	ed in milligrams	(ma)							

y in quantities are expressed in minigrams (mg)

Table 2: Composition of AMOX tablet layer												
Ingredients					F	ormulatio	on code					
	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8	A9	A10	A11	A12
Amoxicillin Trihydrate	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	250
HPMCK4M (10-30%)	45	90	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	67.5	-	67.5
HPMCK15M (10-30%)	-	-	-	45	90	135	-	-	-	67.5	67.5	-
Carbopol P 940(10- 30%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	90	135	-	67.5	67.5
Sodium bicarbonate	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Citric acid	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
Magnesium stearate	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5
Lactose	98.5	53.5	8.5	98.5	53.5	8.5	98.5	53.5	8.5	98.5	53.5	8.5
Total	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450	450
*All quantities are expresse	d in millioram	s (ma)										

active ingredient, HPMC, Carbopol, sodium bicarbonate, citric acid, lactose were mixed in a poly bag for 5 minutes. Magnesium stearate previously passed through mesh no. 60 was mixed well in a poly bag for 3 minutes. The blend was directly compressed using single punch tablet compression machine. The composition of AMOX tablet layer is shown in Table 2.

Fabrication of Gastroretentive Bilayer tablet

As the upper punch was raised the immediate release layer of ranitidine was placed on the above the sustained release layer of amoxicillin; the 2 layers were then compressed into a floating bilayer tablet. Each tablet weighed 600 mg containing 100 mg ranitidine and 250 mg amoxicillin. Bilayer tablet containing ranitidine hydrochloride

(upper layer) and amoxicillin trihydrate (bottom layer) is presented in Figure 1.

Evaluation of tablet

Pre-compression parameters of powder blend

The prepared powder blend was evaluated for parameters like bulk density, tapped density, Carr's index, Angle of repose, and Hausner's ratio. The pre-compression parameters of powder blend of both the layers are reported in Table 3 and Table 4.

Post-compression parameters

Hardness

Hardness indicated the ability of the tablet to withstand mechanical shocks while handling. The hardness of the tablet layers were determined using Monsanto hardness tester. Three tablets form each formulation was randomly picked and hardness of the tablets was determined. The hardness of RAN tablet layer and AMOX

Table 3: Pre-compression parameters of powder blend prepared for RAN tablet layer								
	Parameters							
Formulation code	Bulk density (g/cm³)	Tapped density (g/ cm³)	Angle of repose (°)	Hausner's Ratio	Carr's compressibility index (%)			
R1	0.476 ± 0.013	0.563 ± 0.025	25.18 ± 0.11	1.18 ± 0.019	15.4 ± 0.023			
R2	0.455 ± 0.029	0.567 ± 0.023	26.18 ± 0.16	1.24 ± 0.026	19.7 ± 0.019			
R3	0.463 ± 0.014	0.536 ± 0.024	27.86 ± 0.14	1.15 ± 0.019	13.6 ± 0.015			
R4	0.455 ± 0.014	0.583 ± 0.023	28.54 ± 0.13	1.25 ± 0.018	21.9 ± 0.014			
R5	0.474 ± 0.013	0.551 ± 0.027	29.37 ± 0.15	1.16 ± 0.02	13.9 ± 0.017			
R6	0.478 ± 0.019	0.557 ± 0.023	28.68 ± 0.17	1.16 ± 0.021	14.1 ± 0.018			
R7	0.449 ± 0.017	0.558 ± 0.027	29.56 ± 0.12	1.24 ± 0.022	19.5 ± 0.015			
R8	0.455 ± 0.018	0.551 ± 0.026	28.72 ± 0.16	1.21 ± 0.022	17.4 ± 0.023			
R9	0.465 ± 0.014	0.559 ± 0.024	28.31 ± 0.18	1.20 ± 0.021	16.8 ± 0.019			

Where all values are mean ± S.D. for n=3

Table 4: Pre-compression parameters of powder blend for AMOX tablet layer								
	Parameters							
Formulation code	Bulk density (g/cm³)	Tapped density (g/cm³)	Angle of repose (°)	Hausner's Ratio	Carr's compressibility index (%)			
A1	0.466 ± 0.013	0.573 ± 0.027	24 ± 0.16	1.22 ± 0.02	18.6 ± 0.014			
A2	0.457 ± 0.017	0.577 ± 0.026	26 ± 0.13	1.26 ± 0.021	20.7 ± 0.011			
A3	0.474 ± 0.014	0.580 ± 0.023	25 ± 0.17	1.22 ± 0.018	18.2 ± 0.012			
A4	0.465 ± 0.012	0.583 ± 0.023	27 ± 0.15	1.25 ± 0.017	20.2 ± 0.017			
A5	0.474 ± 0.011	0.565 ± 0.026	28 ± 0.14	1.19 ± 0.018	16.1 ± 0.013			
A6	0.481 ± 0.015	0.567 ± 0.021	25 ± 0.12	1.17 ± 0.018	15.1 ± 0.014			
A7	0.459 ± 0.017	0.568 ± 0.025	27 ± 0.15	1.23 ± 0.021	19.1 ± 0.011			
A8	0.460 ± 0.013	0.576 ± 0.023	26 ± 0.13	1.25 ± 0.018	20.1 ± 0.015			
A9	0.471 ± 0.014	0.579 ± 0.022	28 ± 0.14	1.22 ± 0.018	18.6 ± 0.012			
A10	0.464 ± 0.011	0.548 ± 0.021	25 ± 0.16	1.18 ± 0.016	15.3 ± 0.017			
A11	0.477 ± 0.015	0.576 ± 0.022	24 ± 0.12	1.2 ± 0.018	17.1 ± 0.013			
A12	0.461 ± 0.017	0.581 ± 0.024	26 ± 0.17	1.25 ± 0.020	20.6 ± 0.015			

Where all values are mean \pm S.D. for n=3

Table 5: Optimization parameters for RAN tablet layer									
	Parameters								
code	Hardness (Kg/ cm²)	Friability (%)	Uniformity of weight (%)	Disintegration time (Second)	<i>In-vitro</i> dispersion time (Minutes)	Drug content (%)			
R1	4.1 ± 1.2	0.561	1.32 ± 0.06	25 ± 1.1	45 ± 1.2	98.65			
R2	4.5 ± 1.4	0.490	1.42 ± 0.08	23 ± 1.2	42 ± 1.1	97.79			
R3	4.3 ± 1.5	0.662	1.65 ± 0.05	25 ± 0.95	44 ± 1.4	99.34			
R4	4.2 ± 1.9	0.451	1.28 ± 0.07	25 ± 1.2	48 ± 1.3	98.74			
R5	4.1 ± 1.4	0.565	1.30 ± 0.04	24 ± 1.1	31 ± 1.1	98.96			
R6	4.2 ± 1.2	0.586	1.82 ± 0.06	25 ± 1.2	33 ± 0.98	99.39			
R7	4.1 ± 1.5	0.481	1.95 ± 0.04	24 ± 1.1	35 ± 1.2	98.28			
R8	4.1 ± 1.8	0.560	1.34 ± 0.08	23 ± 1.2	33 ± 1.4	99.16			
R9	4.5 ± 1.2	0.465	1.30 ± 0.09	22 ± 1.2	31 ± 1.2	98.89			

Where all values are mean \pm S.D. for n=3

	Table 6: Optimization parameters of AMOX tablet layer							
				Parameters				
Formulation code	Hardness (Kg/cm²)	Friability (%)	Uniformity of weight (%)	Swelling index in 1 hour (%)	Buoyancy lag time (Minutes)	Duration of Floating (hours)	Drug content (%)	
A1	4.1 ± 0.67	0.93	1.27 ± 0.08	12.2	1.1 ± 0.90	>8	97.3	
A2	4.4 ± 0.51	0.89	1.56 ± 0.09	12.7	1.3 ± 0.87	>8	94.5	
A3	4.3 ± 0.33	0.86	1.45 ± 0.09	13.1	1.45 ± 0.94	>8	95.7	
A4	4.6 ± 0.17	0.91	1.53 ± 0.06	13.4	1.27 ± 0.79	>8	98.4	
A5	4.7 ± 0.20	0.90	1.37 ± 0.07	13.5	1.43 ± 0.68	>8	96.3	
A6	4.6 ± 0.28	0.88	1.63 ± 0.05	13.2	1.38 ± 0.88	>8	98.1	
A7	4.1 ± 0.65	0.89	1.71 ± 0.07	12.5	1.36 ± 0.91	>8	97.84	
A8	4.6 ± 0.45	0.96	1.66 ± 0.05	12.7	1.41 ± 0.85	>8	96.58	
A9	4.7 ± 0.35	0.87	1.59 ± 0.08	12.3	1.46 ± 0.84	>8	98.71	
A10	4.2 ± 0.78	0.91	1.61 ± 0.06	12.8	1.43 ± 0.77	>8	97.47	
A11	4.6 ± 0.65	0.93	1.78 ± 0.05	12.6	1.37 ± 0.92	>8	96.68	
A12	4.4±0.40	0.89	1.65±0.07	12.5	1.41±0.81	>8	95.62	
Where all values are	e mean ± S.D. for n=3							

Table 7: Evaluation parameters of optimized bilayer tablet				
Parameter	Observations			
Hardness (Kg/cm²)	4.4 ± 0.35			
Friability (%)	0.642			
Weight variation (%)	1.30 ± 0.04			
Drug content (mg) -Ranitidine -Amoxicillin	98.4 248.6			
Disintegration time (Seconds)	25 ± 1.2			
In-vitro dispersion time (Seconds)	37 ± 1.2			
Buoyancy lag time (Minutes)	1.57 ± 0.83			
Duration of floating (Hours)	>8			
Swelling index (%)	11.4%			



Figure 2: Disintegration time of RAN tablet layer

tablet layer are shown in Table 5 and 6 respectively. The hardness of optimized bilayer tablet is reported in Table 7.

Friability test⁴

The friability of tablets was determined using Roche friabilator. Ten tablets were initially weighed ($W_{Initial}$) and placed into friabilator. The friabilator as operated at 25 rpm for 4 minutes i.e. 100 revolutions. The tablets were then taken out, dusted and then weighed again (W_{Final}). The % friability was calculated by using formula:

$$\%F = 100 (1 - W_{Final} / W_{Initial})$$

The values of friability of RAN tablet layer and AMOX tablet layer are shown in Table 5 and 6 respectively. The friability of optimized bilayer tablet is reported in Table 7.

Uniformity of weight5

Twenty tablets were randomly selected from each batch individually weighed, the average weight and standard deviation of 20 tablets was calculated. The data of weight variation test of RAN tablet layer and AMOX tablet layer are shown in Table 5 and 6 respectively. The data of weight variation test of optimized bilayer tablet is reported in Table 7.

Disintegration test

Disintegration test is performed to ensure that the drug substance is fully available for dissolution and absorption from the gastrointestinal tract. One tablet was placed in each tube and the basket rack was positioned in a 1L beaker of simulated gastric fluid at $37 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, such that tablet remains 2.5 cm below the surface of the liquid on their upward movement and descends not closer than 2.5 cm. from the bottom of the beaker. A standard motor driven device was used to move the basket assembly containing the tablets up and down through a distance of (5 to 6) cm. at the frequency of 28-32 cycles per minute. Time at which there is no fraction of tablet present in the tube was noted. The disintegration time of RAN tablet layer is reported in Table 5. The disintegration time plot obtained for the RAN tablet layer is shown in Figure 2. The disintegration time of optimized bilayer tablet is reported in Table 7.



Figure 1: Bilayer tablet containing ranitidine hydrochloride (upper layer) and amoxicillin trihydrate (bottom layer)



In-vitro dispersion time⁶

In-vitro dispersion time was determined by dropping a tablet into a 10 ml measuring cylinder containing 10 ml of pH 1.2 buffer. The total duration of time taken by the tablet to completely disperse in the buffer was noted. The *In- vitro* dispersion time of RAN tablet layer are shown in Table 5. The *In- vitro* dispersion time plot obtained for the RAN tablet layer is shown in Figure 3.

Drug content

Determination of drug content in RAN layer⁷

Twenty tablets were weighed and grounded into a fine powder. An amount of powder equivalent to 200 mg of ranitidine HCl was weighed accurately and mixed with 70 ml of distilled water in a 100 ml volumetric flask. The mixture was shaken for about 20 minutes. Volume was made upto 100 ml with distilled water and filtered. Filtrate equivalent to 2 mg/ml was diluted appropriately to obtain a 100 μ g/ml solution which is then analyzed by UV-spectrophotometry.

Determination of drug content in AMOX layer

Ten tablets were weighed and triturated to get the powder. Weight equivalent to 100 mg of AMOX was dissolved in 50 ml of distilled water and sonicated for 15 minutes. The volume was adjusted to 100 ml using distilled water and filtered. 2 ml of this solution was diluted to 10 ml with distilled water and analyzed at 230 nm using UV-spectrophotometry.

Simultaneous estimation of ranitidine and amoxicillin in bilayer tablet⁸

Powder equivalent to 100 mg of Ranitidine Hydrochloride and 250 mg of Amoxicillin Trihydrate was weighed accurately and transferred to 1000 ml volumetric flask. Sufficient quantity of distilled water was added to dissolve the drugs completely. Volume was adjusted to 1000 ml with distilled water. 1 ml of this solution was diluted to 10 ml with distilled water. Absorbance of the resulting solution was measured at (228 and 313) nm. Concentration was determined by UV-spectrophotometry by simultaneous estimation method.

The values of drug content of RAN tablet layer and AMOX tablet layer are shown in Table 5 and 6 respectively. The drug content of optimized bilayer tablet is reported in Table 7.

Floating parameters

Buoyancy lag time⁹

The buoyancy of tablets was studied at $37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C in 100 ml of 0.1N HCl. A glass beaker containing 100 ml of 0.1N HCl was taken, in which AMOX tablet layer

was placed for observation. The duration of time taken by the tablet to float was observed visually. The data for the buoyancy lag time study of AMOX tablet layers are shown in Table 6. The buoyancy lag time of optimized bilayer tablet is reported in Table 7. Buoyancy lag time of AMOX tablet layer and Buoyancy study at different time intervals are presented in Figure 4 &5.

Duration of floating time

A glass beaker containing 100 ml of 0.1N HCl was taken, in which AMOX tablet layer was placed for observation. The total duration for which tablet remains floating was recorded as duration of floatation. The data of the floating time study of AMOX tablet layers are shown in Table 6. The floating duration of optimized bilayer tablet id reported in Table 7.

Swelling index¹⁰

The swelling properties of tablet layer containing AMOX were determined by placing the tablet in the USP Dissolution Testing Apparatus II, in 900 ml of 0.1 N HCl at 37 \pm 0.5 °C, rotated at 50 rpm for 30 minutes. The tablets were removed then from dissolution medium, blotted to remove excess water and weighed. Swelling characteristics were expressed in terms of percentage water uptake (WU %) according to the equation.

Swelling Index = (Wt-Wo) * 100 / W

Where, Wt=Weight of dry tablet, Wo= Weight of swollen tablet

The swelling index of AMOX tablet layers are shown in Table 6. The swelling index of optimized bilayer tablet is reported in Table 7.

In-vitro dissolution studies

The optimized formulated tablet layer beds of both the drugs were subjected to *in–vitro* dissolution study. *In–vitro* dissolution of RAN and AMOX was performed using 900 ml of pH 1.2 buffer as the dissolution media at 75 rpm using USP apparatus II. 5 ml sample was withdrawn and replaced with the fresh dissolution media at regular time intervals. The samples were subsequently diluted and filtered. The concentrations of RAN and AMOX in samples were determined by UV-spectrophotometry.

The release profiles obtained for the formulations R1-R5 and R6-R9 are presented in Table 8 and 9 respectively. The release profiles obtained for the formulations A1-A6 and A7-A12 are presented in Table 10 and 11 respectively. The release profile of optimized bilayer tablet is presented in Table 12. The release plots obtained for the formulations are shown in Figure 6 (R1-R3), 7 (R4-R6), 8 (R7-R9), 9 (A1-A3), 10 (A4-A6),

Table 8: In-vitro dissolution studies of tablet layers of RAN (R1-R5)								
Time (Minutes)		% drug release in 0.1N HCI						
	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5			
0	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00			
5	13.7 ± 0.04	15.1 ± 0.06	12.5 ± 0.03	13.4 ± 0.03	15.0 ± 0.05			
10	25.5 ± 0.07	29.4 ± 0.05	28.0 ± 0.04	24.7 ± 0.05	27.5 ± 0.03			
15	39.6 ± 0.05	45.2 ± 0.02	41.7 ± 0.06	35.1 ± 0.02	40.6 ± 0.05			
20	58.1 ± 0.05	63.4 ± 0.5	62.2 ± 0.03	53.2 ± 0.04	60.5 ± 0.03			
25	73.7 ± 0.04	77.1 ± 0.03	74.2 ± 0.06	65.5 ± 0.05	77.1 ± 0.06			
30	84.2 ± 0.03	87.6 ± 0.02	92.2 ± 0.03	82.2 ± 0.03	87.4 ± 0.04			
A41	C D (

Where all values are mean ± S.D. for n=3

Table 9: In-vitro dissolution studies of best tablet layers of RAN (R6-R9)							
Time (Minutes)	% drug release in 0.1N HCI						
	R6	R7	R8	R9			
0	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00			
5	17.6 ± 0.05	12.4 ± 0.03	15.7 ± 0.04	14.5 ± 0.03			
10	30.0 ± 0.07	25.7 ± 0.05	30.1 ± 0.02	27.6 ± 0.03			
15	45.1 ± 0.02	44.7 ± 0.02	45.5 ± 0.07	40.5 ± 0.07			
20	63.5 ± 0.04	60.8 ± 0.02	66.1 ± 0.03	58.2 ± 0.05			
25	79.8 ± 0.05	75.6 ± 0.04	80.0 ± 0.02	70.5 ± 0.04			
30	92.8 ± 0.06	90.1 ± 0.08	94.2 ± 0.05	87.6 ± 0.03			
Where all values are mean ± S.D	. for n=3	·					

Table 10: In-vitro dissolution profile of AMOX Tablet layer (formulation A1-A6)								
Time (Hour)	% drug release in 0.1N HCI							
	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6		
0	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00		
1	8.2 ± 0.02	10.6 ± 0.03	12.5 ± 0.05	11.4 ± 0.05	12.3 ± 0.03	11.7 ± 0.05		
2	16.4 ± 0.06	18.1 ± 0.03	20.4 ± 0.02	18.8 ± 0.02	18.7 ± 0.05	17.9 ± 0.02		
3	21.1 ± 0.04	23.7 ± 0.04	26.2 ± 0.03	24.5 ± 0.04	26.4 ± 0.02	25.9 ± 0.04		
4	29.2 ± 0.02	31.4 ± 0.02	33.7 ± 0.02	32.1 ± 0.04	32.7 ± 0.04	31.9 ± 0.06		
5	35.5 ± 0.05	38.5 ± 0.04	40.1 ± 0.06	39.2 ± 0.03	40.8 ± 0.06	39.8 ± 0.06		
6	41.7 ± 0.03	43.2 ± 0.05	46.4 ± 0.03	45.0 ± 0.03	47.1 ± 0.04	47.4 ± 0.03		
7	48.0 ± 0.04	50.6 ± 0.04	53.1 ± 0.04	51.5 ± 0.05	53.2 ± 0.02	54.1 ± 0.04		
8	56.1 ± 0.04	59.5 ± 0.02	61.1 ± 0.04	58.7 ± 0.02	59.1 ± 0.03	60.5 ± 0.05		
9	62.5 ± 0.02	65.4 ± 0.05	67.7 ± 0.03	65.8 ± 0.03	66.2 ± 0.03	67.1 ± 0.03		
10	70.2 ± 0.05	72.1 ± 0.03	75.0 ± 0.04	72.9 ± 0.04	74.5 ± 0.02	75.2 ± 0.04		
Where all values are m	Where all values are mean ± S.D. for n=3							

11 (A7-A9), 12 (A10-12). The release plot obtained for the optimized bilayer tablet is shown in Figure 13.

kinetic models included zero order, first order, Higuch model, and Korsmeyer-Peppas model.

Kinetics of drug release¹¹

To determine the drug release mechanism, the *in-vitro* release data was fitted to various kinetic equations. The

Zero order kinetics

Drug dissolution from pharmaceutical dosage forms that do not disaggregate and release the drug slowly

Table 11: In vitro dissolution profile of AMOX Tablet layer (formulation A7-A12)										
Time (Hour)		% drug release in 0.1N HCI								
	A7	A8	A9	A10	A11	A12				
0	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00				
1	9.1 ± 0.02	8.6 ± 0.04	7.9 ± 0.03	12.8 ± 0.04	11.7 ± 0.06	12.1 ± 0.07				
2	13.4 ± 0.03	14.4 ± 0.07	14.5 ± 0.05	20.1 ± 0.07	17.5 ± 9.03	18.3 ± 0.04				
3	18.9 ± 0.02	20.3 ± 0.03	19.6 ± 0.03	27.2 ± 0.02	24.0 ± 0.05	25.7 ± 0.05				
4	24.2 ± 0.04	26. ± 0.02	25. ± 0.04	35.1 ± 0.05	29.7 ± 0.05	31.4 ± 0.02				
5	31.5 ± 0.03	32.8 ± 0.04	33.6 ± 0.02	43.0 ± 0.03	36.2 ± 0.03	37.8 ± 0.06				
6	38.7 ± 0.05	37.9 ± 0.03	38.2 ± 0.05	50.2 ± 0.02	42.1 ± 0.02	44.5 ± 0.03				
7	44.3 ± 0.04	45.2 ± 0.06	45.9 ± 0.02	57.7 ± 0.05	48.5 ± 0.05	50.6 ± 0.04				
8	51.2 ± 0.02	51.7 ± 0.03	52.1 ± 0.03	65.4 ± 0.03	55.2 ± 0.04	57.5 ± 0.03				
9	57.5 ± 0.04	58.0 ± 0.04	58.4 ± 0.01	72.7 ± 0.02	61.7 ± 0.04	64.2 ± 0.05				
10	63.4 ± 0.05	64.2 ± 0.03	64.5 ± 0.05	82.9 ± 0.03	68.9 ± 0.0	71.4 ± 0.07				
Where all values are m	iean ± S.D. for n=3									

Table 12: In vitro drug release profile of optimized bilayer tablet						
Time	% drug release					
	Ranitidine Hydrochloride	Amoxicillin Trihydrate				
0 minute	0.00 ± 00	0.00 ± 00				
5 minutes	16.1 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 00				
10 minutes	30.4 ± 0.05	0.00 ± 00				
15 minutes	46.0 ± 0.06	0.00 ± 00				
20 minutes	66.2 ± 0.03	0.00 ± 00				
25 minutes	79.4 ± 0.04	0.00 ± 00				
30 minutes	94.6 ± 0.02	11.3 ± 0.06				
1 hour	96.1 ± 0.02	13.1 ± 0.04				
2 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	21.5 ± 0.07				
3 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	32.6 ± 0.02				
4 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	41.2 ± 0.05				
5 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	52.4 ± 0.03				
6 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	60.9 ± 0.02				
7 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	68.3 ± 0.05				
8 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	76.2 ± 0.03				
9 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	83.4 ± 0.02				
10 hours	96.9 ± 0.02	90.5 ± 0.06				
Where all values are mean \pm S.D. for n=3						



Figure 4: Buoyancy lag time of AMOX tablet layer



Figure 5: Buoyancy study at different time intervals



Figure 6: *In vitro* dissolution studies of tablet layers of RAN (R1-R3)



Figure 7: *In vitro* dissolution studies of tablet layers of RAN (R4-R6)



Figure 8: In vitro dissolution studies of tablet layers of RAN (R7-R9)



Figure 9: *In vitro* dissolution studies of tablet layers of AMOX (A1-A3)



Figure 10: *In vitro* dissolution studies of tablet layers of AMOX (A4-A6)



Figure 12: *In vitro* dissolution studies of tablet layers of AMOX (A10-A12)

(assuming that area does not change and no equilibrium conditions are obtained) can be represented by the following equation:

$$Q_0 - Q_t = K_0 t$$

Where Q_0 is the initial amount of drug in the pharmaceutical dosage form, Q_t is the amount of drug in the pharmaceutical dosage form at time t and k is proportionately constant.

First order kinetics

The relation expressing this model

$$\log C_{t} = \log C_{0} - Kt / 2.303$$



Figure 11: *In vitro* dissolution studies of tablet layers of AMOX (A7-A9)



Figure 13: *In vitro* drug release profile of ranitidine hydrochloride and amoxicillin trihydrate from the bilayer tablet

Where Ct is the amount of drug released in time t, C_0 is initial amount of drug in the solution and K is the first order release rate constant.

Korsmeyer Peppas model

K is a constant incorporating structural and geometric characteristic of the drug dosage form and n is the release exponent, indicative of the drug release mechanism. For matrix tablets, an n value of 0.5 indicates diffusion controlled mechanism while an n value of 1.0 indicates erosion controlled release. Intermediate values suggest dual mechanism of both diffusion and

Higuchi model

It can be represented by the following equation

$$Qt = K_{\rm H} t^{1/2}$$

Where Qt = the amount of drug released at time t and

 $K_{\rm H}$ = the Higuchi release rate

To determine the drug release mechanism, the *in-vitro* release data was fitted to various kinetic equations. The plots were drawn as per the following details.

- Cumulative percent drug released as a function of time (zero order kinetic plots).
- Log cumulative percent drug retained as a function of time (first order kinetics plots).
- Log cumulative percent drug released as a function of log time (Korsmeyer plots).
- Cumulative percent drug released versus square root of time (Higuchi plots).

The *In-vitro* release data of different kinetic models for Amoxicillin Trihydrate and Ranitidine Hydrochloride

are shown in Table 13 and 14 respectively and release plots are presented in Figure 14–20.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Gastroretentive delivery system of Ranitidine Hydrochloride and Amoxicillin Trihydrate was prepared as bilayered tablets. The tablet is characterized by immediate release layer of Ranitidine Hydrochloride and gastroretentive layer of Amoxicillin Trihydrate. The study describes the formulation of both immediate and extended release drug for increased therapeutic efficacy and patient convenience.

Both tablet layers (RAN and AMOX) were optimized with the help of 3² factorial design and experimental design respectively. The RAN tablet layer was optimized on the basis of following crucial factors like hardness, friability, disintegration time and drug content. The results were found to be 4.1, 4.5, 0.56, 0.465, 23, 22, 99.16, 98.89 for R8 and R9 batch respectively.

Similarly, the AMOX tablet layer was optimized on the basis of following crucial factors like hardness, friability,

Table 13: Analysis of release kinetics for sustained release of Amoxicillin Trihydrate							
Time T hrs	CDR(Q) mg	√T	Log t	%Q	Log % Qr	% Drug remained	Log % Q _r
0 hour	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	2.00
0.5 hour	28.25	0.70	0.00	11.3	1.05	88.7	1.94
1 hour	32.75	1.00	0.00	13.1	1.11	86.9	1.93
2 hour	53.75	1.414	0.30	21.5	1.33	78.5	1.89
3 hour	81.5	1.73	0.44	32.6	1.51	67.4	1.82
4 hour	103.00	2.00	0.60	41.2	1.61	58.8	1.77
5 hour	131.00	2.23	0.70	52.4	1.72	47.6	1.67
6 hour	152.25	2.44	0.78	60.9	1.78	39.1	1.59
7 hour	170.75	2.64	0.85	68.3	1.83	31.7	1.50
8 hour	190.50	2.82	0.90	76.2	1.88	23.8	1.37
9 hour	208.50	3.00	0.95	83.4	1.92	16.6	1.22
10 hour	226.25	3.16	1.00	90.5	1.95	9.5	0.98

Table 14: Analysis of release kinetics for release of Ranitidine Hydrochloride							
Time T (Minutes)	CDR(Q) mg	√T	Log t	%Q	Log % Q	% Drug remained	Log % Q _r
0	0.00	С	0.00	0.00	0	100	2
5	16.1	2.23	0.7	16.1	1.2	83.9	1.92
10	30.4	3.16	1	30.4	1.48	69.6	1.84
15	46.0	3.87	1.17	46.0	1.66	54	1.73
20	66.2	4.47	1.31	66.2	1.82	33.8	1.53
25	79.4	5.0	1.39	79.4	1.9	20.6	1.31
30	94.6	5.47	1.47	94.6	1.97	5.4	0.73



Figure 14: Zero order plot for drug release kinetics of ranitidine hydrochloride from the bilayer tablet



Figure 16: First order plot for drug release kinetics of ranitidine hydrochloride from the bilayer tablet



Figure 18: Higuchi's square root model for drug release kinetics of ranitidine hydrochloride from the bilayer tablet



Figure 15: Zero order plot for drug release kinetics of amoxicillin trihydrate from the bilayer tablet



Figure 17: First order plot for drug release kinetics of amoxicillin trihydrate from the bilayer tablet



Figure 19: Higuchi's square root model for drug release kinetics of amoxicillin trihydrate from the bilayer tablet

Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Education and Research | Vol 48 | Suppl Issue | Oct-Dec, 2014



Figure 20: Korsmeyer Peppas double log plot model for drug release kinetics of ranitidine hydrochloride from the bilayer tablet

buoyancy lag time, duration of floating and drug content. The results were found to be 4.6, 4.2, 8.88, 0.91, 1.38, 1.43, >8, >8, 98.31, 97.47 for A6 and A10 batch respectively.

On the basis of above parameters, in case of RAN tablet layer, the formulation R8 and R9 were found to be best, but for further refinement both batches were subjected to dissolution studies in 0.1 N HCl at $37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C. On the basis of dissolution studies, the R8 was found to be superior amongst then which show 94.2% drug release in 30 minutes. Similarly, in case of AMOX layer, the formulation A6 and A10 were found to be best, but for further refinement both the batches were subjected to dissolution studies 0.1 N HCl at $37 \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ C. On the basis of dissolution studies, the A10 was found to be superior amongst then which show 82.9% drug release in 10 hours.

From the above experiment, it was concluded that formulation layer R8 and formulation layer A10 were best for further development of Gastroretentive Bilayer tablet.

The results of the above experiment indicated that developed formulations R-8 and A-10 are potential for-

Table 15: Correlation coefficient (r²) for optimized formulation in different kinetic models						
Kinetic Model	Co-relation coefficient (r ²)					
	Amoxicillin Trihydrate	Ranitidine Hydrochloride				
Zero order	0.996	0.998				
First order	0.923	0.999				
Higuchi's square root model	0.955	0.978				
Korsmeyer Peppas double log plot model	0.995	0.981				

mulation for preparation of Gastroretentive Bilayer tablet of Ranitidine Hydrochloride and amoxicillin.

Micrometric study

Bulk density, tapped density, compressibility index, Hausner's ratio and angle of repose of the powder blend were determined. The pre compressed parameters of the formulation were found to have satisfactory flow property.

Physical Parameters

The physical parameter *viz* hardness and friability of the compressed bilayer tablets were determined. The friability of the bilayer tablet formulation was found to be 0.642% which complies with the Pharmacopeial requirements. Hardness of the bilayer tablet formulation was satisfactory within the range of the 4.4 \pm 0.35 Kg/cm² and it was sufficient to prevent the chipping and breaking during transportation.

Floating character

The bilayer tablet formulation remained buoyant for more than 8 hours with a floating lag time up to 1.5 minute. During the floating time, formulation maintained the matrix integrity. Floating duration and the floating lag time were found to be dependent on the amount of the polymers incorporated in the formulation and carbon dioxide generating excipients incorporated in the formulation.

Drug content

For the estimation of Ranitidine Hydrochloride and Amoxicillin Trihydrate in a bilayer tablet formulation, simultaneous estimation method was employed. The entire formulated tablet contained more than 90% of Ranitidine Hydrochloride as well as of Amoxicillin Trihydrate.

Dissolution study of immediate layer

In-vitro dissolution studies for the formulations were performed by USP–II type dissolution apparatus at 50 rpm in 900 ml 0.1(N) HCl medium (pH-1.2) at 37°C. The release of the Ranitidine Hydrochloride from the immediate release layer was found to be 94.6 \pm 0.02% in 30 minutes. Use of crospovidone as super disintegrant found to optimum for the release of the immediate drug within 30 minutes with the disintegration time of 25 \pm 1.2 seconds.

Dissolution study of sustained release floating layer

In-vitro dissolution studies for the formulations were performed by USP–II type dissolution apparatus at 50 rpm in 900 ml 0.1N HCl pH-1.2 at 37°C. The release of

Amoxicillin Trihydrate for the sustained release floating layer was found to be 90.5 \pm 0.06% in 12 hours. The formulation remained buoyant for than 8 hours with buoyancy lag time of 1.57 \pm 0.83 minutes.

Release kinetics study

The data obtained from *In-vitro* release were fitted into the model fitting analysis (Zero Order, Higuchi, First Order and Korsmeyer – Peppas Model). The interpretation of data was based on the values of the resulting regression co-efficient. The best fitted model for Amoxicillin Trihydrate and Ranitidine Hydrochloride was found to be zero order release model and first order release model respectively, with highest value of correlation coefficient of 0.996 and 0.999 respectively. Correlation coefficient (r2) for optimized formulation in different kinetic models are tabulated in table 15

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Director, Mahakal Institute of Pharmaceutical Studies, Ujjain (M.P.)

REFERENCES

 Joseph RR., Rhodes CT. Modern Pharmaceutics. 3rd edition, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York. 1996; 58.

- Tripathi KD. Essential of Medical Pharmacology. 6th Edition, Jaypee Brother Medical Publishers (P) Ltd.; 2008. 628.
- Khalil YI, Majeed SM. Formulation and Evaluation of Bialyer Matrix Tablets of Amoxicilin ans Esomeprazole as an Modified Oral Dosage Form for Treatment of Peptic Ulcer. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2014; 6(3): 134-42.
- Lachman L, Liberman HA, Kamig JL. The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharamcy. 3rd Edition, Varghese Publishing House. 1991; 430-56.
- Indian Pharmacopoeia. New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. Controller of Publication. 2007; 2; 770-1.
- Sharma D, Singhai AK, Saraogi GK, Rajak A, Joshi D. Formulation and evaluation of Fast Dissolving Tablets of Ranitidine Hydrochloride using Different Superdisintegrant. International Research Journal of Pharmacy. 2011; 2(10):70-2.
- Aslani A, Jahangiri H. Formulation, Characterization and Physicochemical Evaluation of Ranitidine Effervescent Tablets. Advanced Pharmaceutical Bulletin. 2013; 3(2): 315.
- Dangi YS, Soni ML, Namdeo KP. A UV Spectrophotometric method developed for the simultaneous estimation of Amoxicillin Trihydrate and Ranitidine bismuth citrate for Helicobacter Pylori infections. Der Pharmacia Sinica. 2010; 1(3): 11-6.
- Kumar PR, Doddayya H, Reddy SR, Bharath D, Uday KC. Investigative studies on novel floating tablets of clarithromycin for controlling *Helicobacter pylori* associated peptic ulcer disease. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Letters. 2012; 2(2): 44-8.
- Yadav VT, Jayswal BD, Patel KN, Patel BA, Patel PA. Formulation and Evaluation of Floating Tablet of Amoxicillin Trihydrate. International Journal for Pharmaceutical Research Scholars. 2012; 1(2): 306-22.
- Dash S, Murthy PN, Nath L, Chowdhary P, Kinetic Modelling on Drug Release from Controlled Drug Delivery Systems. Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica n Drug Research. 2010; 67(3): 217-23.