Ocular Delivery of Atenolol Loaded Microsponge *in-situ* Gel: Development, Characterization and *in-vitro* Evaluation
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**ABSTRACT**

**Aim/Background:** The purpose of the study was to fabricate and evaluate atenolol loaded micro-sponge *in-situ* gel. **Materials and Methods:** Oil in Oil Emulsion Solvent Diffusion Technique was used for formulation of microsponges by using different levels of polymers such as Eudragit RS-100 and Ethyl Cellulose. The prepared micro-sponges were evaluated for optical microscopy, percentage yield, drug content, entrapment efficiency and surface morphology studies. The micro sponges were loaded into *in-situ* gel. The gel was characterized for pH, rheological behavior, *in vitro* gelling capacity and *in vitro* drug release study. **Results:** The microsponges were found in the size range of 7.43 to 9.26μm. The formulation F1 has smallest particle size while F9 has largest size. The product yield varies from 66.98% to 89.87 %. The drug content was found to be maximum for formulation F8 which is 94.19%. Similarly, the entrapment efficiency was found maximum for formulation F8 which is 93.89%. The gel was characterized for pH. The pH of drug loaded microsponge gel were found in range of 7.43±0.27 to 9.26±0.61. Similarly, viscosity ranges from 32 to 39 cps. The data of *in-vitro* release shows that 60.12% drug was released up to 24hr. **Conclusion:** The microsponges laden *in situ* gel was effectively prepared by oil in oil emulsion solvent diffusion method (O/O ESDM). Further, the formulation will provide benefits in terms of sustained/controlled release, reduces dosing frequency and provides better compliance to the patient. **Key words:** Microsponge, Atenolol, Ethyl Cellulose, Eudragit RS-100, *in situ* gel.

**INTRODUCTION**

Eye is one of the complicated sense organ of the human body which is responsible for vision. It has specified anatomy and physiology.¹ The major troubles in ophthalmic drug delivery is the rapid removal of liquid drop from the eye which ultimately leads to precorneal loss.² Due to removal the precorneal half-life of drugs applied by pharmaceutical formulations is measured to be between 1-3 min. As a result, only the slight amount of about 1-3% of the dosage really penetrates throughout the cornea and is capable to get into intraocular tissues. Different methods are used for enhancing the bioavailability of drugs. In the past few years many researchers focus on the carriers like nanoparticles and micro particles for targeting the eye.³ Microsponge Delivery System (MDS) is spongy, polymeric microspheres, which has the ability to entrap variety of the actives and can control their release.⁴ The microsponges have size range between 5 to 300μm.⁵ The methods used for fabrication of microsponges includes polymerization, emulsion and solvent diffusion methods. The main advantages of microsponges is that they can be used for a longer time without preservatives because of its pore size. Because of the porous size, the microsponges has high entrapment efficiency and high drug loading.⁶ *In situ* ocular gels consists polymers...
that can changes structurally with changes in pH and temperature in the environment. These gels are basically in liquid form before installation but after installation it undergoes gelation in the eye and thus slowly releases the medicament. The advantages of in situ gels involves that they can easily administered, causes reduction in the frequency dosing and increases patient compliance. Atenolol is a β₁ selective adrenoceptor blocking agent. The topically administered atenolol causes lowering in intraocular pressure. Evidence suggest that reduction in pressure of is due to decreased secretion of aqueous humor. Many researcher's focused on the various preparations of atenolol. The formulation includes long acting gel of atenolol. The main demerit of this formulation is that it does not provide sustained or controlled release of medicament. An another formulation isinosomal hydrogel of atenolol. The aim of the study is integration of microsponges with the in-situ gels. Atenolol loaded microsponges was formulated by using ethyl cellulose and Eudragit RS -100 polymer. Both the polymer are insoluble at ocular pH and compatible at particular pH. The microsponges is then loaded into in-situ gel which reduces the frequency of dosing of drug and thus helps in better patient compliance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Atenolol was obtained from Mepromax Ltd., Dehradun (Uttarakhand). Ethyl cellulose (EC) polymer, acetone, magnesium stearate and light liquid paraffin was obtained from Central Drug House Limited, New Delhi. Eudragit RS-100 was purchased from Evonik India Ltd.

**Methods**

**Preparation of Atenolol loaded microsponges**

The method used for fabrication of microsponges was O/O ESDM. The polymers such as ethyl cellulose and Eudragit RS-100 was dissolved in acetone. Atenolol was added with magnesium stearate (5% w/v). The mixture was kept for 5 min for sonication at 3000 rpm (sonics). The mixture was incorporated into 200 ml of light liquid paraffin at 4000 rpm for 3hr. The acetone was completely removed during this period. The microsponge was washed with n-hexane, the dried for a period of 12hr at room temperature and finally stored in desiccators.

**Design of experiment**

Nine formulations (F1–F9) were fabricated by using two different polymers at three different levels. The design is elaborated in Table 1. The amount of atenolol of drug and magnesium stearate was maintained at constant value.

**Evaluation of Microsponges**

**Particle size by optical microscopy**

Optical microscopy was used for particle size analysis. 100 particles were measured and the mean particle was determined.

**Product yield**

The product yield was determined by using formula:

\[
\text{Product yield} = \frac{\text{Total microsponges formed}}{\text{Total amount of drug and excipients}}
\]

**Drug content (DC)and entrapment efficiency(EE)**

50 mg drug loaded microsponges were weighed and dissolved in 5ml acetone. The sample was place in centrifuge for 10 min at 4500 rpm. The supernatant layer was collected assayed at 274 nm. The % DC and EE efficiency was calculated.

**Microsponges surface morphology**

Field Emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was used to determine the morphology of microsponges.

**Development of Atenolol loaded microsponges in situ gels**

The calculated amount of Poloxamer 407 (30%w/v) was introduced to distilled water by mixing. The mixture was exposed at 4°C in a refrigerator for overnight until the whole polymer swells. Once a clear viscous solution is formed, the weighed quantity of microsponges was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation Code</th>
<th>Atenolol (mg)</th>
<th>Ethyl Cellulose (mg)</th>
<th>Eudragit RS-100 (mg)</th>
<th>Magnesium Stearate (mg)</th>
<th>Acetone (ml)</th>
<th>Light Liquid Paraffin (ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-1(90)</td>
<td>-1(90)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-1(90)</td>
<td>0(180)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>-1(90)</td>
<td>1(270)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0(180)</td>
<td>-1(90)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0(180)</td>
<td>0(180)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0(180)</td>
<td>1(270)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1(270)</td>
<td>-1(90)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1(270)</td>
<td>0(180)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1(270)</td>
<td>1(270)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

introduced to the solution. The solution formed was put under sonication for 1 min to form a gel.\textsuperscript{16}

**Evaluation of microsponge loaded gel pH determination**

The pH of was determined with the help of pH meter. The average reading was recorded.\textsuperscript{17}

**Rheological behavior determination**

The rheology of prepared gels was determined using viscosity. The viscosity was determined at 50 rpm by using Brookfield Viscometer. The readings were taken in triplicates.\textsuperscript{18}

**In-vitro gelling capacity**

The method for determination of in-vitro gelling capacity involves the use of fresh drop of gel in vial which contains 2 ml PBS pH 7.4 at 37°C. The time duration for gelation was noted down. The different grades were given according to gelling capacity.\textsuperscript{18}

**In vitro release study of atenolol loaded microsponge in-situ gel formulations**

In-vitro release test was carried out in 50 ml of PBS (pH 7.4) in Franz Diffusion cell. A semi permeable membrane (Himedia) was used for the study. An accurate weight of 0.5 g of microsponge gel was put on the cell. The samples were withdrawn from the cell at regular time period (1 to 24 hr) and were analyzed at 274 nm in spectrophotometer. Kinetic analysis was done to determine the order of reaction. The release data was put into kinetic models to find out the mechanism of drug release.\textsuperscript{19}

**Stability Study**

In stability studies the microsponge gel were stored at 40°C and 75% RH for a period of three months. The two parameters mean particle size and entrapment efficiency were evaluated.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The microsponges were prepared by O/O ESDM. Acetone served as a good solvent and bridging liquid for atenolol. The microsponges were subjected to different evaluation parameters and the results are mentioned in Figure 1 (A,B,C,D) and Table 2

**Particle size**

The microsponges varies from 7.43±0.27 to 9.97±0.61μm. F9 formulation consist maximum particle size when both ethyl cellulose and Eudragit RS 100 were at maximum level. The particle size should be within the range of 10μm. The particle having size below 10μm do not causes irritation to the eyes. The similar type of studies was conducted by Rajasekaran \textit{et al} 2020 in which they found that the particles below 10µm is suitable and comfortable for ocular delivery.\textsuperscript{20}

**Product yield**

The yield of the microsponges varies from 66.98% to 89.87% as shown in Table 2. The maximum value was found to 89.87% for F9 which contain high level of polymers. Furthermore, on raising the level of polymer the product yield increased. Consequently, F1 exhibited low yield of 61.2% due to low level of polymers.

**Drug Content**

The drug content was found maximum for Formulation F8 showed which 93.89% is. The high percentage of drug content was due to the porous structure of microsponges which favors the maximum drug loading. The high drug loading leads to increased drug content of the formulation.

**Percentage Entrapment Efficiency**

The percentage entrapment efficiency usually varies from 80.76% to 92.89 %. It was found maximum for formulation F8 94.19%,and minimum 80.76% for F1. The EE can be associated to the porous structure of microsponges. The porous nature of microsponges favors high entrapment efficiency. The DC and % EE depend on the drug to polymer concentration. Higher value of drug to polymer ration favors high drug content and entrapment efficiency. This is in correlation with the results quoted by Bhatia \textit{et al} 2018, Obiedallah \textit{et al} 2018.\textsuperscript{4,7}
**Table 2: Results of formulated microsponges.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation code</th>
<th>Mean particle size (μm)</th>
<th>Production Yield (%)</th>
<th>Entrapment Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Drug Content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>7.43±0.27</td>
<td>66.98</td>
<td>80.76</td>
<td>79.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>8.89±0.91</td>
<td>75.92</td>
<td>82.89</td>
<td>80.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F3</td>
<td>8.68±0.29</td>
<td>78.98</td>
<td>86.25</td>
<td>87.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4</td>
<td>8.82±0.36</td>
<td>71.98</td>
<td>85.89</td>
<td>82.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F5</td>
<td>9.12±0.49</td>
<td>81.98</td>
<td>87.25</td>
<td>90.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F6</td>
<td>8.89±0.28</td>
<td>85.99</td>
<td>82.19</td>
<td>78.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F7</td>
<td>8.99±0.99</td>
<td>82.98</td>
<td>88.91</td>
<td>90.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F8</td>
<td>9.21±0.76</td>
<td>86.32</td>
<td>94.19</td>
<td>93.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F9</td>
<td>9.26±0.61</td>
<td>89.87</td>
<td>92.89</td>
<td>89.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**pH**

The ideal value of pH for an ophthalmic product is 7.4. The pH of different formulation was found in the range of 6-7 which shows that the formulation is suitable for ocular delivery. Any change in pH could lead to ocular irritation. So, the pH of the formulation should lie within the specified range. The work done by Makwana et al. 2016 in which they found the pH of their formulation between 6.49–6.58 which is suitable for ocular delivery.²¹

**Rheological behavior**

The viscosity was determined with the help of Brookfield viscometer. The viscosity helps to enhance the residence time of formulation in the targeted organ eye. The viscosity of the gel was found in between 32-39 cps. The ocular formulation must have adequate viscosity so that it remain inside the eye. This value of viscosity is suitable for the ocular delivery.

**Gelling capacity and gelling time**

The ideal in-situ system is the one which gelled on exposure to body temperature. The minimum gelling time was found to be 9.89±0.73 sec for F1 formulation. The gelling time is low for the formulation having low entrapment efficiency (80.76%).The results finds correlation with the Obiedallah et al. 2018.⁴

**In vitro Release of Atenolol Loaded Microsponges in situ Gel Formulations**

The in-vitro release study of microsponges loaded in situ gel was conducted in Franz Diffusion cell. The release data was calculated for all the formulations and the results are shown in Table 4. From the study, it was found that the formulation F9 has slowest rate of drug release.

**Microsponges surface morphology**

The FESEM of microsponges shows that they are round in shape with porous surface as shown in Figure 3. The same results was obtained by Tripathi et al. 2019. In their study they prepared the microsponges of dithranol. The formed microsponges were found uniform and spherical in shape. In another study, the same type of results were also obtained by Obiedallah et al. 2018,⁴ in which the FESEM of micropsonges shows that they are spherical and uniform in size.

**Evaluation of Gel loaded Microsponges**

The different parameters were evaluated for gel loaded microsponges which includes pH, viscosity, gelling capacity and gelling time determination and stability studies. The results of gel loaded microsponges are shown in Table 3.
due to high concentration of polymer. The release data was put under different mathematical models to find out the release mechanism. The data is subjected to kinetic models like zero order, first order, Higuchi’s, Korsmeyer Peppas as shown in Figure 2. When the data is plotted between time vs drug release the best fit model was found to be first order as it shows high range of linearity. The value of $R^2$ is less than 0.9 for all formulations. The value of $n$ is found to be greater than 0.89 which shows that case II transport mechanism.

**Stability Studies**

The stability studies of the prepared microsponges were conducted and the results are shown in Table 5. From the studies it was found that there were no changes occurs in entrapment efficiency and mean particle size of formulations during the storage period.

**CONCLUSION**

Atenolol loaded microsponge *in situ* gel was successfully prepared by oil in oil solvent emulsion diffusion method. The microsponge loaded *in situ* gel was subjected to different evaluation parameters. From the results, it was found that the microsponges was successfully prepared and having spherical in shape. The size of the microsponges was found upto 10 μm which is suitable for ocular delivery. The most optimized formulation was found to be F8 due to its satisfactory results of particle size, drug content, entrapment efficiency, pH, viscosity. At the last, it was concluded that the formulation will have in reducing frequency of dosing, provides sustained action and improves the patient compliance.
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The main intent of the study was to develop the Atenolol loaded Microsponge in-situ gel for ocular delivery. Firstly, the microsponges was prepared and then the optimized formulation F8 was incorporated in an in-situ gel of Poloxamer 407. The benefits of the formulation is that is provides sustained release, increases the residence time of drug in eye and thus improves the patient compliance.