OSCE-A New Assessment Method for Pharmaceutical Education
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ABSTRACT

More reliable and validated method of assessment is the need of the hour for better summative evaluation of the students of pharmaceutical sciences. Competency or outcome based education models rely heavily on assessment methods. The objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) fits in to the gap and fulfills the requirement of testing the clinical/practical skills in more objective way. This review explores steps in planning and implementing an OSCE for institutes as well as high stakes exams. Organizations like Pharmacy Council of India and All India Council for Technical Education can develop guidelines to implement OSCE.

Key words: OSCE, Assessment, Pharmaceutical Education, Clinical Skills, Summative Evaluation.

INTRODUCTION

Outcome and competency-based education models are being widely accepted and implemented. The concept of competencies relies heavily on the development of assessment methods that are reliable, valid, feasible and positively impacting learning in the education system.¹ Assessment in medical education has evolved from the traditional pen and paper tests to a more holistic evaluation spectrum that includes problem-based learning, faculty global ratings and checklists, standardized patients, and team-based learning.² As opposed to the procedural emphasis in medical field, pharmacists must rely almost entirely upon speaking, listening, reading, writing, and observing to gather data,³ and hence assessment in this context differs considerably.

One of the widely used tools to assess clinical or practical competency, particularly in undergraduate education, is the objective structured clinical examination (OSCE). Though it was introduced in 1975 as an assessment method in a medical school,⁴ the OSCE today is the principle method for assessment across the various health professions. Around the 1970’s the regulatory body for pharmacy practice in British Columbia, Canada also began using standardized practice problems and patient simulations in an OSCE format as a method of evaluating entry-to-practice and continuing competency of its pharmacist members.⁵ In 1996, the Ontario College of Pharmacists (the regulatory/licensing body for pharmacy practice in Canada’s largest province) instituted an OSCE as part of its compulsory Quality Assurance and Practice Review program for all practicing pharmacists.⁵ In recent times OSCE is used to test several entry level competencies that have been identified in Canada.⁶ Nevertheless, the usage of OSCE in Pharm D programs or licensure exam in India continues to lag behind counterparts in other countries due to a multitude of barriers.

The OSCE method, as used today, has evolved into a flexible testing approach that...
can incorporate standardized patients as well as observer ratings, short written tests, and other methods used to provide a comprehensive clinical evaluation of history taking, physical examination, and communication skills. OSCEs can therefore effectively assess psychomotor, cognitive and affective skills. In the broad sense, OSCE implies a class of examinations that use stations to measure performance. Other variants and terms used include Objective Structured Practical Examination (OSPE); Objective Structured Long Examination Record (OSLER); Group Objective Structured Clinical Examination (GOSCE). Never the less, all these examinations are modified such that they may be designed for a specific purpose but retain all the characteristics of the original OSCE. Specific OSCE’s have been developed for pharmacy such as P-OSCE to test abilities based outcomes from pharmacy program.

How to conduct an OSCE
A contemporary definition of an OSCE would include the following elements:

1. A circuit of focused clinical problems, not necessarily arranged in a related fashion
2. Learners simultaneously progress through stations
3. Some stations include standardized patients (i.e. actors) that simulate real patient presentations
4. Scoring includes direct observation by a clinical expert
5. Scoring tools use a variation of global response scores or checklists.

Purpose of the exam
OSCE is used for both summative and formative assessments. OSCE as formative assessment is useful in providing feedback to the students and participation in an OSCE was found to improve the quality of the learning experiences as well as performance in subsequent examinations. OSCEs can identify low achieving students, particularly in the clinical arena, early on in their program.

Overall the impact of OSCE for summative assessments on student performance is a positive one. High-stakes OSCE is an appropriate assessment for the curriculum, complementing the OSCEs embedded in courses. Moreover, the OSCE complements, but does not replace, the traditional written licensing exam used to assess clinical knowledge to ensure entry-level practitioners meet standards of practice for the protection of the public.

Decide what to test
Some of the core competencies that need to be evaluated in pharmacy education include: professional and ethical practice, communication, collaboration and self-management, leadership and management, review and supply prescribed medicines, prepare pharmaceutical products, deliver primary care and preventive health care, promote and contribute to optimal use of medicines and critical analysis, research and education. The core advantage of OSCE is being able to assess student’s performance across various domains of learning wherein performance denotes grades of the candidates as novice, advanced beginner, competent, proficient or experts in various competencies. In areas of pharmacy where students are exposed to patient management, OSCE assesses the higher levels of miller’s learning pyramid and demonstrates readiness of the learner to practice (Figure 1).

The OSCE should be designed to assess certain competencies or skills which are not possible to be assessed using traditional pen and paper or computer based testing methods. The structured approach is an obvious advantage: nevertheless, there have been criticisms that the OSCE risks the compartmentalization of these skills (performance of skills in isolation) for the purposes of assessment. Besides, there is an ever prevalent risk that students may concentrate more on passing the OSCE rather than attempt to gain the respective skills and improve their actual clinical performance. This in turn can potentially decrease the educational impact of OSCE.

Allocation of resources
Success of OSCE heavily depends on the allocation of resources. Where an OSCE is conducted within an
institution and used across all levels of the program, it becomes a cost effective tool due to the utilization of existing resources from within the institute, whereas an OSCE that is administered in a special facility designed for the purpose, is significantly costly, labor intensive or even prohibitive.8

Developing a blueprint
The blueprint is a template that is used to guide development of OSCE stations to ensure the tasks and problems depicted in simulations are relevant to practice and capture important elements of patient care.12 The content sampling specifications, or blueprint, of an exam should be carefully developed to ensure that the sample cases for any one test form represents the broad scope of content and domains to be assessed.16 When used for high stakes examinations, a blueprint that outlines competencies and skills of entry-level pharmacists to be tested, the number of stations in the OSCE and the testing time, needs to be determined.

Development of stations and item construction
Stations should be based on everyday scenarios from pharmacy practice with alignment with the learning outcomes or competencies. While introducing the assessment into an institute or a council for licensing, an OSCE committee can be set up to collect data from practitioners and other stakeholders and deliberate upon the content and domains to be tested. Pre-testing stations from previous editions of the examination can help determine how long chosen competencies take to test effectively.17 The station numbers and profile can be also be ascertained using formative OSCEs with a limited number of stations. When utilized in higher levels of the program, it is advisable to include material covered elsewhere in the module or year of study, such that the students had come across the knowledge content previously.18 The item construction should provide enough information to guide the examinee to the required task, but not too little.

The station profile should include the condition, time required to complete task, the domain and competence tested and proposal of the suitable examiner.17 Majority of the stations used in OSCE are of the active type, manned by both a real/standardized patient and an examiner. These stations may also include face to face or telephone communication with the examiner who plays the role of a patient or a healthcare professional; and written stations, which involve a short problem or questions such as dosage calculations. Other types of stations include ‘preparation stations’ that provides instructions for the next station, so that the candidate is fully aware of the task which he/she will be asked to complete at the next station. A variable number of ‘Rest Stations’ are included for candidates to review their answer sheets from previous stations.19

Each station is designed to last from 5 to 7 min. No significant difference has been observed between dependability and generalizability coefficients between the 15-station form of the exam and the 20-station form. Hence a range of 12-16 stations may adequately serve the needs of an OSCE exam.12 Nevertheless, studies are questioning the need for OSCEs to necessarily include large case banks to reach acceptable levels of reliability and suggest site specific decision studies.20

Preparing scoring checklists
A criterion based checklist or analytic tool is frequently used to mark OSCEs. Checklists generally utilize a binary marking scale (achieved or not achieved).21 The checklists are weighted based on relative importance as decided by the experts and the purpose of the exam. Recently, global rating scales have used either individually or in combination with checklists.22 The analytic global rating showed substantially higher internal consistency and better psychometric properties than did the checklists.23 A 4 point rating scale can be used which allows the overall quality of the student's performance to be assessed.

Standardized patients
Most OSCEs have come to rely heavily on standardized patients (SPs) a term that needs to be differentiated from simulated patients (also abbreviated as SP). Standardized patients are actors or other individuals trained to portray a realistic patient with a particular disease or condition, in a consistent manner, thus affording hands-on testing of students. On the other hand, a simulated patient merely simulates the role of a patient and need not necessarily have undergone specific training.8 According to opinions from both students and practitioners, a pharmacy OSCE format, requiring students to demonstrate the ability to perform professional pharmacy practice competencies utilizing SPs, provides a positive learning experience.24 The integration of virtual patients in OSCE reveals that this assessment method is efficient and practical in many aspects since it provides the test taker with a much closer real-life clinical encounter. Although it is in many ways more difficult, it also provides for better “learning from mistakes” opportunities for test-takers.25

Instructions for students
Candidate instructions must be clear, precise and state the specific task, skill or their combination to be dem-
onstrated. Instructions should provide clear instructions on the division of marks and overall guide the students through the various stations of OSCE.\textsuperscript{17}

**Instructions to examiner**

Explicit instructions should always be provided for the examiners including the station profile, the item construct and the scoring criteria.\textsuperscript{17} It is a healthy practice to conduct workshops for faculty participating in OSCE for the first time. The faculty may also need to be calibrated to avoid intra and inter examiner variability. A common set of instructions needs to be developed for both examiner and students to serve as a reference guide for both.\textsuperscript{17}

**Pilot test**

It is essential that additional to the efforts invested in development of OSCE a pilot run be conducted. The logistics of implementing an OSCE can be reviewed, besides gathering valuable input from faculty and colleagues including experiences such as role playing the script of standardized patients with colleague.\textsuperscript{16}

**Reliability and validity of OSCE (quality assurance)**

In essence OSCE attempts to eliminate subjective bias (objective) and the examination is conducted in such a way that the content of the examination and the competences to be tested are planned carefully in advance (structured).\textsuperscript{26} Results from a meta-analysis\textsuperscript{27} report that the reliability of an OSCE exam is moderate. Several key elements affect the reliability scores and should be noted by all educators. In the OSCE, a measurement error can be attributed to the following: 1) stations, 2) standardized patients, 3) examiners, 4) scenarios (if the OSCE is conducted at multiple sites), and 5) occasion effects (if the OSCE is conducted at different times).\textsuperscript{28} Utilizing two assessors instead of one, increasing the number of stations and an appropriate test length has been found to improve the reliability.\textsuperscript{29} Highly valid scores are imperative, particularly if OSCE is being utilized to make high stakes decisions. Studies have been equivocal, with some demonstrating high validity evidence as compared to other methods of testing. Validity has been demonstrated for development of content, rigorous control of the response process, internal structure and demonstration of acceptable interpreter agreement.\textsuperscript{30} Others have demonstrated less than desirable validity scores compared to multiple choice questions.\textsuperscript{31} The results can be at least partially be explained by the fact that OSCE is often compared to assessment tools for specific domains.\textsuperscript{32,33} In contrast OSCE assesses competencies across multiple domains. Overall, modest validity can be achieved with OSCE.

**CONCLUSION**

The OSCE scores over the traditional assessment methods due to the reliability and assessment at higher level on the miller’s pyramid. Both patient and learner safety coupled with an improved quality of learning outcome achievement are the key benefits of the OSCE. However, optimal benefits can be gained by judicious planning, training and avoiding overreliance on OSCE as a panacea for all evils associated with assessment methods.
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