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ABSTRACT

Objectives: To avoid the first-pass metabolism of the drug (lurasidone HCl) and further improving its contact time with the nasal mucosa, intranasal mucoadhesive microspheres were developed by using natural (chitosan) and synthetic (Eudragit L 100) polymers by spray-drying method. The study aims to enhance the systemic drug absorption via the nasal membrane and to further evaluate the effect of polymers on the drug release profile. Methodology: The microspheres of each polymer were prepared in three different ratios with one blank batch, where the effect of concentration of polymer was assessed with all six formulations with respect to change in particle size and entrapment efficiency. The prepared microspheres were assessed for the essential parameters such as particle size, production yield, entrapment efficiency and histopathological study. The excipients-drug substance compatibility was assessed and their associative behavior was comprehensively studied by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC), X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). Results: The particle size analysis also revealed that all the formulations had particle size in the range of 10-15 μm which is suitable for intranasal administration. The optimized batch of chitosan microspheres (CH-2) and optimized batch of Eudragit L 100 microspheres (EU-1) formulations showed maximum drug loading of 68.3% and 74.9% whereas the cumulative drug release was found to be 76.36% and 80.18%, respectively. Conclusion: From the obtained results, it was concluded that the study showed a satisfactory attempt to formulate mucoadhesive microspheres with improved absorption rate and contact time of drug with nasal mucosa. Key words: Lurasidone, Intranasal, Mucoadhesive, Microsphere, Drug absorption.

INTRODUCTION

Lurasidone hydrochloride is a thiazole azapiron derivative that acts on D2 and serotonin 5HT2A receptor as a complete antagonist and is used in the management of psychiatric conditions.1 It is having a poor oral bioavailability about 19% due to first-pass metabolism and its plasma half-life is about 18-40 hr.2 The nasal cavity offers an attractive alternative route to parenteral administration and has attracted wide attention as a reliable, safe, non-invasive and convenient route to accomplish faster and higher levels of drug absorption.3 Intranasal therapy has been an accepted form of treatment in the Ayurvedic system of Indian medicine.4 The nasal mucosa exhibits greater permeability than other mucosal surfaces including the various regions of the gastrointestinal tract, buccal and vaginal cavities, which provides rapid systemic drug absorption and quick onset of action.5 The nasal mucosa is well supplied with a rich vasculature which directly flows into the systemic circulation thus avoiding hepatic metabolism.6 Recently, it has been shown that many drugs have better bioavailability by nasal route than oral route.7 Microsphere technology is one of the specialized systems becoming popular for designing nasal products.8 The microspheres swell in contact with nasal mucosa and form a gel which controls the rate
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of clearance from the nasal cavity as it may provide prolonged contact with the nasal mucosa and thus enhances absorption and bioavailability, where the nasal mucosa is dehydrated due to moisture uptake by the microspheres. The result in reversible shrinkage of the cells, providing a temporary opening of tight junctions that increases the absorption of drugs. Intranasal mucoadhesive microspheres have emerged as alternative dosage forms for the psychotic patients who experience difficulties in administration of medicine by swallowing oral solid dosage forms such as tablets, capsules and syrups as compared to nasal administration of very fine powdered microspheres. These microspheres retained for prolonged period of time and directly delivers the drug at brain via nose to brain pathways. As far as the nasal microspheres are concerned, spray drying is an important method for its preparation. It is more advantageous because it is a one-step process having high drug loading capacity and reproducibility. Moreover, the process is more feasible for scaling up compared to other microsphere fabrication methods.

The aim of this work was to develop natural (i.e. chitosan) and synthetic (i.e. Eudragit L 100) polymer-based mucoadhesive microspheres of lurasidone hydrochloride in different drug-to-polymer ratios (1:2 to 1:4) with one blank batch of both polymers were prepared by spray-drying technique for the nasal administration. The prepared mucoadhesive microspheres were evaluated in terms of morphology, production yield, particle size, drug loading, entrapment efficiency, swelling property, in vitro mucoadhesion, in vitro drug release, ex vivo drug permeation and histopathological studies. The prepared microspheres were characterized by differential scanning calorimetry, scanning electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Lurasidone HCl was received as a kind gift from Emcure Ltd., Pune, India. Chitosan (degree of deacetylation >75%), Eudragit L 100, methanol and dichloromethane were purchased from Loba Chemie, Mumbai, India. All other chemicals, solvents and reagents used in the study were of analytical grade. Double distilled water (Borosil, India) was employed for the experiment.

Instruments

Spray dryer (Lu-222 Advanced, Labultima, Mumbai, India), Mechanical stirrer (Remi Laboratory Ltd., Mumbai, India) UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan), pH meter (Labtronics LT-10, New Delhi, India), Digital Motic microscope (DMW2-223, Motic Instruments Inc., Canada) and Franz Diffusion Cell (Orchid Scientific, Nashik, India) were used for the formulation and characterization purpose.

Preparation of mucoadhesive microspheres

Chitosan (CH) microspheres and Eudragit L 100 (EU) microspheres were prepared by spray-drying technique (Table 1). The chitosan solution (1% w/v) was prepared in aqueous glacial acetic acid by continuous stirring using a sharp blade mechanical stirrer, this solution was filtered through 0.45 µm Millipore filter paper then the drug was dispersed in the polymeric solution and stirred. A sufficient amount of 25% (v/v) aqueous glutaraldehyde (cross-linking agent) was added slowly with continuous stirring. In the case of Eudragit L 100 microspheres required quantity of Eudragit L 100 was dissolve in methanol by continuous stirring with the help of sharp blade mechanical stirrer then the drug was added to the polymeric solution and stirred continuously. The drug-loaded microspheres were obtained by spraying the feed solution with a spray dryer using a standard 0.7 mm nozzle. The solution was fed to the nozzle with a peristaltic pump, atomized by the force of compressed air and blown together with heated air to the chamber where the solvent in the droplets was evaporated. The dried microparticles were harvested from the apparatus collector and kept in a desiccator. The process parameters of the spray-drying technique includes inlet temperature of 80-85°C, outlet temperature 60-65°C, aspirator speed 40–50% and feed pump speed 8-10 ml min⁻¹. The formulation batches of chitosan microspheres and Eudragit L 100 microspheres of lurasidone HCl shown in Table 1, where CH-B and EU-B are blank microspheres of both formulations, respectively.

| Table 1: Composition of intranasal mucoadhesive microspheres. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Types of polymer | Formulation Batch | Drug : Polymer ratio | Drug (mg) | Polymer (mg) |
| Chitosan | CH-B | - | - | 2000 |
| | CH-1 | 1: 2 | 1000 | 2000 |
| | CH-2 | 1: 3 | 1000 | 3000 |
| | CH-3 | 1: 4 | 1000 | 4000 |
| Eudragit L 100 | EU-B | - | - | 2000 |
| | EU-1 | 1: 2 | 1000 | 2000 |
| | EU-2 | 1: 3 | 1000 | 3000 |
| | EU-3 | 1: 4 | 1000 | 4000 |
Characterization of microspheres

Production yield

The production yields of microspheres of various formulation batches were calculated using the weight of the final product after drying with respect to the initial total weight of the drug and polymer used for the preparation of microspheres.\(^{15}\)

\[
\text{% Production yield} = \left( \frac{\text{Practical mass}}{\text{Theoretical mass}} \right) \times 100
\]

Particle size analysis

A microscopic image analysis technique for the determination of particle size was applied. The particle size was determined by a Motic digital microscope set with a 1/3” CCD camera imaging accessory as well as computer-controlled image analysis software (Motic images plus 2000, 1.3 version). The microspheres were dispersed on a microscope slide and the microscopic field was scanned by a video camera. By using the software, the images of the scanned field are analyzed.\(^{16}\)

Drug loading and entrapment efficiency

The weighed amount of microspheres of each formulation batch was dissolved in methanol and kept overnight to extract lurasidone HCl and the solution was filtered through the Whatman filter paper. 1 mL of this solution was withdrawn and diluted to 10 mL with methanol. This solution was assayed for drug loading by UV spectrophotometer at 229 nm methanol as a blank and percent drug loading and entrapment efficiency were calculated using the below formula:

\[
\text{% Drug loading} = \left( \frac{\text{Actual amount of drug loaded in microspheres}}{\text{Weighed quantity of microspheres}} \right) \times 100
\]

\[
\text{% Entrapment efficiency} = \frac{M_{\text{actual}}}{M_{\text{theoretical}}} \times 100
\]

Where \(M_{\text{actual}}\) is the actual drug content in a weighed quantity of powder of microspheres and theoretical amount of drug in microspheres calculated from the quantity added in the fabrication process is \(M_{\text{theoretical}}\).\(^{17}\)

Swelling property

The swelling property of microspheres in physiological media (simulated nasal fluid) was determined by allowing them to swell to their equilibrium, calculated by using the formula:\(^{18}\)

\[
\alpha = \frac{(W_s - W_o)}{W_s} \times 100
\]

\[
I = \alpha \times 100
\]

Where, \(\alpha\) = degree of swelling; \(W_s\) = weight of microspheres after swelling; \(W_o\) = initial weight of microspheres; and \(I\) = % swelling index.

In vitro mucoadhesion study

Falling liquid film technique was used to determine the mucoadhesive property of prepared microspheres. A freshly cut piece 2 cm\(^2\) of goat nasal mucosa within 1 hr of sacrificing the animal was cleaned by washing with isotonic saline solution. An accurately weighed quantity of microspheres (100 mg) was placed on the mucosal surface, which was attached over a polyethylene plate. About 100 \(\mu\)L of the simulated nasal electrolyte solution was put on microspheres and this plate was incubated for 15 min in desiccators at 90% relative humidity to allow the polymer to interact with the membrane and finally fixed at an angle of 45°C relative to the horizontal plane. Phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) previously warmed to 37±5°C was circulated all over the microspheres and membrane at the rate of 1 ml/min. After 1 hr, the amount of drug in the collected perfusate was spectrophotometrically determined. The weight of washed-out microspheres was determined and percent mucoadhesion was calculated by the following formula:\(^{19}\)

\[
\text{% in vitro mucoadhesion} = \left( \frac{\text{Amount of washout}}{\text{Amount of applied drug}} \right) \times 100
\]

X-Ray Diffraction

X-ray diffraction patterns of the plain drug, blank microsphere and drug-loaded microspheres were recorded on an X-ray diffractometer. The samples were irradiated with monochromatized Cu-K\(\alpha\) radiation and analyzed between 3-60°C (2\(\theta\)). The voltage and current used were 40 kV and 35 mA, respectively.\(^{20}\)

Differential Scanning Calorimetry

The thermal behaviors of pure drug, blank microspheres and drug-loaded microspheres were studied using a differential scanning calorimeter. The thermograms were obtained at a heating rate of 10°C/min over a temperature range 30-300°C under an inert atmosphere flushed with nitrogen at a rate of 20 ml/min.\(^{21}\)
Scanning Electron Microscopy

The surface morphology of microspheres was studied using a scanning electron microscopy. The powder of microspheres was dusted onto double-sided tape on an aluminum stub and coated with gold using a cold sputter coater in SEM chamber to a thickness of 4 Å° and photomicrographs are captured by operating at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV electron beam. The obtained photomicrographs were examined at 500x and 7000x magnifications.

In vitro drug release study

The in vitro drug release study of microspheres was performed using a glass-fabricated Franz diffusion cell across the dialysis membrane (Mw cut-off 12,000–14,000) as a diffusion barrier. The membrane was equilibrated before carefully dispersing the microspheres into the donor compartment. The receptor compartment was filled with Phosphate Buffer Solution (PBS) (pH 6.6) that was within the pH range in the nasal cavity. The donor compartment was placed in such a way that it just touched the diffusion medium in the receptor compartment. The temperature was maintained at 37±1°C using a circulating water bath. The samples were periodically withdrawn from the receptor compartment, replaced with the same amount of fresh pre-warmed buffer solution and assayed using UV spectrophotometer at 229 nm.

Model fitting analysis

To study the release kinetics of optimized batch of chitosan microspheres (CH-2) and Eudragit L 100 microspheres (EU-1), data obtained from in vitro drug release studies were plotted in various kinetic models: zero-order (Equation 1) as cumulative amount of drug released vs time, first-order (Equation 2) as log cumulative percentage of drug remaining vs. time, and Higuchi's model (Equation 3) as cumulative percentage of drug released vs square root of time.

Zero-order model

\[ C = K_0 t \] (1)

Where \( K_0 \) is the zero-order rate constant expressed in units of concentration/time and \( t \) is the time in minutes. A graph of concentration vs. time would yield a straight line with a slope equal to \( K_0 \) and intercept the origin of the axes.

First-order model

\[ \log C = \log C_0 - Kt/2.303 \] (2)

Where \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration of the drug, \( K \) is the first order constant and \( t \) is the time.

Higuchi model

\[ Q_t = K_1^{1/2} \] (3)

Where \( Q_t \) is the amount of drug release in time \( t \), \( K_1 \) is the kinetic constant and \( t \) is the time in minutes. A more stringent test was used to distinguish between the mechanisms of drug release. The release data were fitted to the Peppas exponential model as log cumulative percentage of drug released vs log time (Equation 4). The release exponent \( n \) and \( K \) value were calculated through the slope of the straight line. If the exponent \( n=0.43 \) then the drug release mechanisms Fickian diffusion, if \( 0.43<n<0.85 \) then it is non-Fickian or anomalous diffusion, if \( n<0.85 \) mechanism is non-Fickian case II diffusion.

\[ M_t = M_1 \frac{1}{4} K_t^n \] (4)

Ex vivo permeation study

The ex vivo drug permeation study of microspheres was performed using a glass-fabricated Franz diffusion cell across goat nasal mucosa as a diffusion barrier. The mucosa was equilibrated before carefully dispersing the weighed quantity of 10 mg microspheres into the donor compartment. The receptor compartment was filled with phosphate buffer solution (pH 6.6) that was within the pH range in the nasal cavity. The donor compartment was placed in such a way that it just touched the diffusion medium in the receptor compartment. The temperature was maintained at 37±1°C using a circulating water bath. The samples were periodically withdrawn from the receptor compartment, replaced with the same amount of fresh pre-warmed buffer solution and assayed using UV spectrophotometer at 229 nm.

Histopathology Study

The goat nasal mucosa obtained from the local slaughterhouse within 1 hr of sacrificing the animal was cleaned by washing with isotonic saline solution. After 1 hr of applying the drug-loaded microspheres, the nasal mucosa was fixed in 10% neutral carbonate buffered formalin solution, routinely processed and embedded in paraffin to assure optimal conditions for the viability of the tissue. Paraffin sections (7 mm) were cut on glass slides and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Sections were examined under a light microscope, to detect any damage to the tissue during in vitro permeation study.

Statistical analysis

One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Least Significant Difference (LSD) multiple comparison procedure was applied in this study by using InStat
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Formulation

The spray-drying technique described here appears to be a suitable method for the preparation of chitosan and Eudragit L 100 microspheres loaded with lurasidone HCl. It is the one-step process, easy and rapid also it combines drying of the feed and embedding of the drug into a one-step operation. The spray-dried microspheres of chitosan appeared as a yellowish-brown and off-white powder for Eudragit L 100 microspheres.

Characterization of microspheres

Production yield

The production yield of mucoadhesive microspheres was found to be between 23% to 30.4% for chitosan microspheres and 21% to 33.8% for Eudragit L 100 microspheres, respectively (Figure 1A). Due to the loss of material in spray drying method maximum production yield for chitosan microspheres was found to be 30.4% and 33.8% for Eudragit L 100 microspheres. Increasing the concentration of the polymer leads to a slight increase in the yield.\(^\text{27}\)

Particle size

An average particle size of microspheres ranged from 10 to 15 \(\mu\text{m}\), such particles are considered to be suitable for nasal administration. The average particle size of microspheres ranged from 9.89 \(\mu\text{m}\) to 15.3 \(\mu\text{m}\) (Figure 1B) and microscopic image of microspheres (Figure 2). In spray drying method particle size is mainly depends on the diameter of spraying nozzle; hence the diameter of nozzle was selected as 0.7 mm, as the diameter of nozzle decreases particle size also gets decreases irrespective of the concentration of mucoadhesive polymer.

Drug Loading

The drug loading of mucoadhesive microspheres were found in the range of 49.6% to 68.3% for chitosan microspheres and 70.88% to 95.78% for Eudragit L 100 microspheres, respectively. In the case of chitosan microspheres, the maximum drug loading was found to be 68.3% with the drug to polymer ratio 1:3 of formulation CH-2 and 95.78% with the drug to polymer ratio 1:2 in formulation EU-1 of Eudragit L 100 microspheres (Figure 1C).

Entrapment efficiency

The entrapment efficiency of mucoadhesive microspheres was found in the range of 43.18% to 75.39% for chitosan microspheres and 68.2% to 76.80% for Eudragit L 100 microspheres, respectively. In the case of chitosan microspheres, the maximum entrapment efficiency was found to be 75.39% as a result of higher concentration of drug to polymer ratio 1:4 of batch CH-3 and the maximum entrapment efficiency in Eudragit L 100 microspheres was found to be 76.80% of batch EU-2 (Figure 1D).

Swelling property

The swelling property of the mucoadhesive microsphere ranged from 53.27% to 70% for chitosan microspheres and 43.82% to 58% for Eudragit L 100 microspheres. All obtained microspheres rapidly swelled in Simulated Nasal Fluid (SNF). The swelling capacity of the microspheres was determined by polymer content in the preparation. The amount of polymer affects the swelling of microspheres. It has been observed that as the amount of chitosan and Eudragit L 100 increases, the swelling property also increases (Figure 1E).\(^\text{28}\)

In vitro mucoadhesion study

The mucoadhesion study was carried out to ensure the adhesion of formulation to the nasal mucosa for a prolonged period of time at the site of absorption. The results showed that the mucoadhesive microspheres adequately adhere to the nasal mucosa. The ratio of...
the adhered microspheres was expressed as percent mucoadhesion. From the optimized batch of both polymers, the percentage of the originally applied mass of microspheres attached to the nasal mucosa was found to be about 72% and 67% for chitosan microspheres and Eudragit L 100 microspheres, respectively and could adequately adhere on goat nasal mucosa. The increasing polymer ratio, higher mucoadhesion percentages were obtained. This could be attributed to the availability of a higher amount of polymer for interaction with mucus.29

**XRD Studies**

The X-ray diffraction pattern of pure drug lurasidone HCl, blank microsphere and drug-loaded microspheres of both polymers was recorded on an X-ray diffractometer. The distinctive sharp peaks of the drug were observed at diffraction angles 11.486°, 13.914° and 16.466° on the 2θ scale (Figure 3), illustrating the typical crystalline nature of the drug. The sample microspheres showed a broad peak of 19.329° for indicating the amorphous state of the polymer. The absence of crystalline peaks of lurasidone HCl in drug-loaded microspheres confirmed that the drug was molecularly dispersed in the polymer and conversion of the drug into the amorphous form takes place.30

**Thermal analysis**

The DSC thermogram of the pure drug, blank microspheres of both polymer and drug-loaded mucoadhesive microspheres of chitosan and Eudragit L 100 are depicted. Lurasidone HCl showed sharp melting endotherm at 264.75°C where thermogram of blank chitosan microspheres shows three endothermic peaks at 73.80°C, 129.12°C and 234.24°C, respectively. The drug-loaded chitosan microspheres showed three sharp melting endotherms at 76.64°C, 233.60°C and 240.27°C (Figure 4), respectively. The thermogram presented drug and polymer compatibility with each other. The blank Eudragit L 100 microspheres showed two endothermic peaks at 76.64°C, 233.60°C and 240.27°C (Figure 4), respectively. The thermo gram presented drug and polymer compatibility with each other. The blank Eudragit L 100 microspheres showed two endothermic peaks at 76.64°C and 238.19°C and drug-loaded Eudragit L 100 microspheres showed two sharp melting endotherms at 76.28°C and 145.96°C. The thermo gram of drug-loaded microspheres did not appear. DSC studies revealed that the drug was molecularly dispersed inside the microspheres.31

**Morphological examination**

SEM was done for studying the morphology and surface characteristics of prepared microspheres. Scanning electron microscopy photomicrographs (Figure 5) suggested that these microspheres had no hole or rupture on the surface, such morphology would result in slow clearance and good deposition pattern in the nasal cavity.

**In vitro drug release study**

The drug release profile from various formulation batches of chitosan microspheres (Figure 6A) and Eudragit L 100 microspheres (Figure 6B) are described. From the in vitro drug release profile, the formulation CH-2 of chitosan microspheres had the highest
cumulative drug release of 74.836% and formulation EU-1 of Eudragit L 100 microspheres had 79.481% cumulative drug release within 5 hr as compared to other formulations, hence these two batches are selected as optimized batches. In order to investigate the drug release mechanism, the release data were fitted to models representation zero-order, first-order and Higuchi square root of time.

**Ex vivo drug permeation study**

The *ex vivo* permeation study of the optimized batch of both polymer-based formulations was carried out by using goat nasal mucosa. The formulation CH-2 of chitosan microspheres had the highest cumulative drug release of 76.364% (Figure 6C) and formulation EU-1 of Eudragit L 100 microspheres had 80.182% (Figure 6D) cumulative drug release within 5 hr.

**Drug release kinetics and drug release mechanism**

The drug release data were fitted to kinetic models in order to investigate the drug release kinetics. It was found that the *in vitro* drug release of optimized microspheres formulation CH-2 and EU-1 was best fitted to the zero-order kinetic model, it indicates that drug releases at a constant rate and the $n$-value indicates both formulations follows the non-Fickian mechanism of drug release.

**In vitro comparative drug release profile of optimized batch of chitosan and Eudragit microspheres**

The *in vitro* comparative study reveals that the chitosan microsphere batch CH-2 showed 74.83% and Eudragit L 100 microsphere batch EU-1 showed 79.41% cumulative drug release within 5 hr and follows zero-order kinetics (Figure 6E).

**Ex vivo comparative drug permeation study of optimized batch of chitosan and Eudragit microspheres**

The *ex vivo* comparative drug permeation study reveals that the chitosan microsphere batch CH-2 showed 76.36% and Eudragit L 100 microsphere batch EU-1 showed the highest 80.18% cumulative drug release within 5 hr (Figure 6F).

**Histopathology Studies**

Histopathology study showed control mucosa that is untreated nasal mucosa stained with haematoxylin-eosin and the effect of formulation on goat nasal mucosa, after applying the drug-loaded microsphere. The ciliated respiratory epithelium and normal goblet cell were observed this confirms that formulation does not cause...
any deleterious response and adverse effect on nasal mucosa (Figure 7).

CONCLUSION

The study regarding the effective delivery and possible bioavailability enhancement of lurasidone hydrochloride was taken into account, hypothesized, co-related and developed successively by fabricating microspheres by using a natural polymer (chitosan) as well as a synthetic polymer (Eudragit L 100). Several advantages and disadvantages have been perceived from polymeric usage (natural vs. synthetic) in context to in vitro drug release, mucoadhesive properties and swelling attributes (from optimized formulation). However, no instant opinion can be given as chitosan microspheres had shown better mucoadhesive property as compared to synthetic microspheres; while synthetic microspheres had the highest cumulative drug release due to its high drug loading. The technique of product development through conventional spray drying method have been found to be a promising alternative approach than any other ways in developing this non-conventional dosage form. The explanation for plausible bioavailability and duration of action may be concluded from the fact that after getting contact with the nasal mucosa, microspheres formulations of lurasidone hydrochloride are believed to form a viscous gel by withdrawing water from the nasal mucosa and interaction with cations present in nasal secretions. The resultant gel formation will effectually decrease the biliary clearance rate and as a consequence the residence time of the formulation at the nasal mucosa is prolonged with no deleterious effect or toxic response (as evidenced from histopathological studies). The study will definitely open new avenues and dimensions towards the enhancement of bioavailability, targeting of drugs, extending the drug release and enhancing the half-life of drugs through microsphere formulations.
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SUMMARY

- Lurasidone HCl mucoadhesive microspheres of average particle size range 9.89 μm to 15.3 μm were produced from chitosan and Eudragit L 100 by spray-drying technique.
- Chitosan microsphere batch CH-2 showed 74.83% and Eudragit L 100 microsphere batch EU-1 showed 79.41% cumulative drug release in in vitro comparative study.
- Chitosan microsphere batch CH-2 showed 76.36% and Eudragit L 100 microsphere batch EU-1 showed highest 80.18% cumulative drug release in ex vivo comparative drug permeation study.
- The in vitro drug release from both chitosan microspheres and Eudragit L 100 microspheres demonstrated zero-order kinetic model (non-Fickian mechanism) of drug release.
- Histopathological study of the optimized formulation showed no deleterious response and adverse effect on nasal mucosa which reflected the safety feature of the fabricated microspheres.
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